A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of rep	ort	N	Measurement of nitric oxide (NO) in exhaled air								
Organization (acronym)			HAS								
Name of person the checklist	ng H	Huguette LHUILLIER-NKANDJEU									
Email h.lhuillier-nkandjeu@has-sante.fr											
										T	T
Item								Yes		Partly	No
Preliminary											
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?								>	<		
2. Authors identified?								>	₹		
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?											×
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?											×
5. Short summary in non-technical language?								>	<		
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?								×			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?								×			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?								>	₹		
9. Description of the assessed health technology?								X			
How?											
10. Details on so	urces of	informati	on a	nd literature	search	strategies p	rovid	ed?			
Search	Databases		Year range		Language restriction			Primary data		Other kind of	
strategy										information resources	
✓	✓		\checkmark			✓		\checkmark		✓	
Complete reference list		List of			Inclusion				Exclusi		
of included studies		excluded studies			criteria				criteria		
44 Information on basis for		Fo 415				rprotetion of selected			to and information?		
11. Information on basis for the assessment and interpretation of selected Method of data Critical appraisal Method of data								Results of the			
extraction described?		method (for quality			synthesis described						
		assessment of the						presented, eg, in the form			
☑		literature) described? √ l			7			of evidence tables?			
		<u>V</u>									
Context?		ch (
12. Information on context (may (Medico-) legal Economi						l I	Other perspectives				
implications ar		nalysis		implications		implications		(stakeholders, patients,			
considered? pr		rovided?		considered?		considered?		consumers) considered?			
									Yes Box 9		
What then?									es	Partly	No
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?								<u> </u>			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?								D	<		

15. Suggestions for further action?

X